electoral process that is necessarily structured to maintain the integrity of the democratic system. Anderson, 460 U.S. at 788. "To achieve these necessary objectives, States have enacted comprehensive and sometimes complex election codes." *Id.* Election laws "invariably impose some burden upon individual voters," whether they govern the "registration and qualifications of voters, the selection and eligibility of candidates, or the voting process itself," and such laws "inevitably affect[] — at least to some degree — the individual's right to vote and his right to associate with others for political ends." Id.; Burdick, 504 U.S. at 433. But, "cumbersome election machinery can effectively suffocate the right of association, the promotion of political ideas and programs of political action, and the right to vote." Williams, 393 U.S. at 39 (Douglas, concurring). And, "[w]hen a State exercises power wholly within the domain of state interest, it is insulated from federal judicial review. But such insulation is not carried over when state power is used as an instrument for circumventing a federally protected right." Reynolds, 377 U.S. at 566 (quoting Gomillion v. Lightfoot, 364 U.S. at 347).

Georgia's Election Code mandates the use of the BMD system as the uniform mode of voting for all in-person voters in federal and statewide elections. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-300(a)(2). The statutory provisions mandate voting on "electronic ballot markers" that: (1) use "electronic technology to independently and privately mark a paper ballot at the direction of an elector, interpret ballot selections, communicate such interpretation for elector verification, and print an elector verifiable paper ballot;" and (2) "produce paper ballots which are marked with the

elector's choices in a format readable by the elector" O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(7.1); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-300(a)(2).

Plaintiffs and other voters who wish to vote in-person are required to vote on a system that does none of those things. Rather, the evidence shows that the Dominion BMD system does not produce a voter-verifiable paper ballot or a paper ballot marked with the voter's choices in a format readable by the voter because the votes are tabulated solely from the unreadable QR code. Thus, under Georgia's mandatory voting system for "voting at the polls" voters must cast a BMD-generated ballot tabulated using a computer generated barcode that has the potential to contain information regarding their voter choices that does not match what they enter on the BMD (as reflected in the written text summary), or could cause a precinct scanner to improperly tabulate their votes.

As a result, each of the Plaintiffs attest that they are forced to forego their right to full and unfettered participation in the political process and to alternatively exercise their right to vote using Georgia's absentee ballot regime which carries its own burdensome procedures, though they may be minimal as compared to the burdens created by the BMDs.⁷⁴ Absentee voting itself has been the subject of

⁷³ O.C.G.A. § 21-2-300(a)(2) (effective April 2, 2019) (mandating a new uniform statewide voting system that provides for "the use of scanning ballots marked by electronic ballot markers and tabulated by using ballot scanners for voting at the polls and for absentee ballots cast in person"). ⁷⁴ Georgia law permits a registered voter to vote via absentee ballot for any reason. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-380. Voters under age 65 must submit separate, distinct applications for each election (i.e. primary, general, runoff) sufficiently early to their county registrar's office to ensure timely receipt of their absentee ballot. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-381(a)(1)(A); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-381(a)(1)(G). Absentee ballot applications may be denied if the registrar determines that the information provided by the voter in the application does not match the voter's information on file with the registrar's office